Cloud Formation and Dynamics in Cool Dwarf and Hot Exoplanetary Atmospheres
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Abstract. The lowest-mass stars, brown dwarfs and extrasolar planets present challenges and opportunities for understanding dynamics and cloud formation processes in low-temperature atmospheres. For brown dwarfs, the formation, variation and rapid depletion of photospheric clouds in L- and T-type dwarfs, and spectroscopic evidence for non-equilibrium chemistry associated with vertical mixing, all point to a fundamental role for dynamics in vertical abundance distributions and cloud/grain formation cycles. For exoplanets, variations in azimuthal heat circulation and the formation of stratospheric and exospheric (evaporative) layers indicate mixed-layer, asymmetric atmospheres that may also be time-variable (particularly for systems with highly elliptical orbits). Dust and clouds may also play a substantial role in the thermal energy balance of exoplanets through albedo effects. For all of these cases, 3D atmosphere models are becoming an increasingly essential tool for understanding spectral and temporal properties. In this review, I summarize the observational evidence for clouds and dynamics in cool dwarf and hot exoplanetary atmospheres, outstanding problems associated with these processes, and areas where effective synergy can be achieved in the next generation of low-temperature atmosphere modeling.
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1. Introduction

Fifteen years ago, the first examples of brown dwarfs and extrasolar planets were discovered, sources which broaden our sampling of the spectral, chemical and dynamical properties of low temperature atmospheres. Several hundred low-temperature, low-mass stars and brown dwarfs (the latter distinguished by their lack of core hydrogen fusion) are now known, encompassing two new spectral classes of star, the L dwarfs and the T dwarfs (see review by [Kirkpatrick 2005]). Roughly 300 extrasolar planets have been found through Doppler shift, transit and microlensing techniques. Collectively, these low-temperature sources span a broad range of mass (1 M\textsubscript{Jupiter} \leq M \leq 100 M\textsubscript{Jupiter}), photospheric temperature (100 K \leq T \leq 2500 K), surface gravity (3 \leq \log g \leq 5.5 \text{ cm s}^{-2}), age (few Myr to few Gyr), elemental composition, rotation period (hours...
to days), magnetic activity, degree of external heating and interior structure. Yet all are related by their cool, molecule-rich, dynamic atmospheres.

While direct studies of cool dwarf atmospheres have been feasible since their discovery, it is only recently that techniques to probe exoplanet atmospheres have been realized. The best-constrained exoplanets are those which closely orbit and transit their host stars—so-called “Hot Jupiters”—allowing reflectance and thermal spectrophotometry during secondary transit (e.g., Charbonneau et al. 2005; Deming et al. 2005), and transmission spectrophotometry during primary transit (e.g., Charbonneau et al. 2002). Phase curves for non-transiting systems have also been measured (e.g., Harrington et al. 2006), and the recent direct detection of planets around the young stars Fomalhaut, β Pictoris and HR 8799 (Kalas et al. 2008; Marois et al. 2008; Lagrange et al. 2009) through high contrast imaging techniques have opened the door to direct investigations of exoplanet atmospheres.

Two themes are prominent in the interpretation of observational data for low-temperature stellar, brown dwarf and exoplanetary atmospheres: clouds and dynamics. Condensate clouds are an important source of opacity in the photospheres of these objects and a component in the chemical network. They also modulate the albedos, energy budgets and atmospheric structure of exoplanets under intense irradiation. Dynamics are responsible for the redistribution of heat and modification of chemical abundances, and are likely fundamental to cloud formation and evolution processes.

In this review, I summarize our current observational evidence for clouds and dynamics in cool dwarfs and hot exoplanets, and note outstanding issues in their role in emergent spectral energy distributions, long-term thermal evolution, albedo, and temporal and azimuthal variability. I conclude with a discussion of opportunities for synergy in future generations of cool dwarf and exoplanet models, with a view toward 3D simulations.

2. Clouds and Dynamics in Cool Dwarf Atmospheres

2.1. Observational Evidence for Condensate Clouds

The atmospheres of the lowest-temperature dwarfs are rich in molecular gas species and neutral metal elements, which produce useful spectral discriminants for bulk properties such as effective temperature ($T_{\text{eff}}$), surface gravity, and metallicity. Importantly, the $T_{\text{eff}}$s of L dwarfs ($1400 \text{K} \lesssim T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 2300 \text{K}$; Golimowski et al. 2004) span the gas/liquid and gas/solid phase transitions for a number of refractory species, including iron, silicates, titanates, and other metal oxides (Lodders 2002). Evidence for these species in L dwarf photospheres has been inferred from their very red near-infrared colors (thermal emission from hot dust); muted H$_2$O steam bands (the result of condensate opacity at flux peaks); and depletion of gaseous precursors to condensates, such as TiO and VO (e.g., Burrows & Sharp 1999; Allard et al. 2001). More recently, the Spitzer Space Telescope has directly detected silicate grain absorption at mid-infrared wavelengths (Figure 1; Cushing et al. 2006). These observations make clear the prominent role of condensates in L dwarf atmospheres.

Conversely, the T dwarfs, which comprise a cooler, later stage of evolution for brown dwarfs ($600 \text{K} \lesssim T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 1400 \text{K}$), exhibit condensate-free photospheres as evident from their blue near-infrared colors and prominent molecular gas bands. More importantly, strong features from neutral alkalis, whose pressure-broadened wings absorb much of the 0.5–1.0 µm light in T dwarf spectra indicate condensates have been cleared out of the photosphere. These species should be depleted into feldspars in the presence of silicates; their presence requires the sequestration of condensates to deeper levels (Burrows et al. 2000).

The development of 1D condensate cloud models (e.g., Ackerman & Marley 2001) has resolved many of these issues, although not all (§ 2.3). Rather than being fully mixed in the...
atmosphere, clouds of condensate species are constrained between a phase transition layer and a cloud top set by a balance of gravitational settling and mixing (this is typically parameterized by a "settling efficiency" or "cloud top temperature"). For the L dwarfs, these cloud layers reside at the photosphere, so their influence on the spectral energy distribution is pronounced. For the T dwarfs, the cloud layers have sunk below the photosphere, so that the emergent spectral energy distribution is largely "condensate-free". It is important to note that the various condensates, while condensing at different temperatures, are probably well-mixed by convection and turbulent gas motion (e.g., Burrows et al. 2006). This raises the possibility of fairly complex grain chemistry, including nonequilibrium hybrid grain formation (e.g., Helling et al. 2008).

Observational evidence supporting the presence of condensate clouds is also found in source-to-source variations seen in equivalently-classified L dwarfs at wavelengths where cloud opacity is most prominent (e.g., Knapp et al. 2004). Early evidence suggests that these variations are correlated with the 9 \( \mu m \) silicate grain feature (Figure 1; Burgasser et al. 2008). In addition, temporal variability, both photometric and spectroscopic, has been observed in several low-temperature dwarfs (see review by Goldman 2005), most importantly those whose atmospheres are too neutral to couple with magnetic fields and form spots (e.g., Mohanty et al. 2002). The variability likely arises from rotational modulation of surface asymmetries in global cloud coverage, as light curve periods are consistent with rotational periods inferred from rotational line broadening (e.g., Reiners & Basri 2008). Variability amplitudes are typically <5%, much smaller than those seen in the Solar giant planets (cf., Jupiter’s 20% variation at 5 \( \mu m \); Gelino & Marley 2000), suggesting that small-scale vorticities analogous to the Great Red Spot may be absent. However, the rapid rotations of low-mass dwarfs (\( V_\text{rot} \sim 30 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) and their high surface gravities (log \( g \sim 5 \text{ cgs} \)) translate into characteristic Rhines lengths—an estimate of jet band width—and Rossby
deformation radii—an estimate of vorticity scale—that are similar to Jupiter and Saturn. Notably, photometric light curves are observed to evolve over time, both in amplitude and phase, occasionally disappearing altogether (Figure [1]). This is a possible indication of cloud evolution over short timescales.

2.2. Observational Evidence for Dynamics

Photometric variability and variance suggest that atmospheric dynamics is an important contributor to cloud formation and evolution. More direct evidence comes from the nonequilibrium chemical abundances of CO, CH₄ and NH₃ observed in T dwarf spectra. The conversion of the carbon reservoir from CO to CH₄ signals the transition between the L dwarf and T dwarf classes, and in chemical equilibrium this is largely complete by $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 1000$ K (e.g., Burrows & Sharp 1999). However, CO absorption is seen to persist well into the T dwarf regime, substantially in excess (>1000×) of chemical equilibrium calculations (e.g., Noll et al. 1997). Nitrogen chemistry—the conversion of N₂ to NH₃ at $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 700$ K—is similarly in disequilibrium, with the latter molecule found to be significantly underabundant (Figure [2]; Saumon et al. 2006).

The nonequilibrium abundances of these species can be explained by the presence of vertical mixing in the photosphere (Griffith & Yelle 1999). Both CO and N₂ are strongly bonded diatomic molecules, and their conversion to CH₄ and NH₃ occurs over a finite chemical timescale ($\tau_{\text{chem}}$). If this temperature-dependent timescale is longer than that for mixing gas from hotter layers ($\tau_{\text{mix}}$), then CO and N₂ will survive in cooler regions in excess abundance (equivalently, their products CH₄ and NH₃ will be underabundant). Current studies parameterize the mixing timescale with an eddy diffusion coefficient, $\tau_{\text{mix}} \approx H^2/K_{\text{mix}}$, where $H$ is the atmospheric scale-height (Griffith & Yelle 1999). Observed CO overabundances and NH₃ underabundances can be reproduced with diffusion coefficients of order $10^2$–$10^6$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ (e.g., Saumon et al. 2007). These values are well below full convection, but are nevertheless consistent with vigorous mixing in the photosphere. Importantly, mixing appears to be a universal property of L and T dwarf atmospheres (Stephens et al. 2009).

2.3. Dynamics and Cloud Evolution at the L Dwarf/T Dwarf Transition

Current 1D cloud models have proven successful in providing a conceptual understanding of how condensate opacity, prominent in L dwarf spectra, is absent in T dwarf spectra. However, these models have difficulty reproducing two outstanding features of this transition: substantial evolution in spectral energy distribu-

---

**Fig. 2.** Mid-infrared spectrum of the late-type T dwarf 2MASS J0415-0935 (black line) compared to models incorporating equilibrium chemistry (red line) and vertical mixing (blue line). Mixing is consistently required to match attenuated bands of NH₃ in the 9–11 μm region of T dwarf spectra, as well as enhanced CO absorption in the 4.5–5 μm region (from Saumon et al. 2007).
Fig. 3. Spectral decomposition of the resolved T0 + T5 binary 2MASS J1404-3159 (Looper et al. 2008), illustrating the J-band bump across the L dwarf/T dwarf transition. Spectral templates scaled to match resolved photometry and combined light spectroscopy (black line) indicate a secondary (blue line) that is ∼50% brighter than its primary (red line) at the 1.05 and 1.25 µm flux peaks. These wavelengths are dominated by condensate cloud opacity in the L dwarfs.

These surprising trends suggest a relatively rapid dissolution of condensate clouds at the L dwarf/T dwarf transition. The 1.05 and 1.25 µm spectral peaks, minima in molecular gas opacity, are dominated by condensate opacity in the L dwarfs. A brightening in this region would be expected if the condensates were suddenly removed (a deepening of alkali lines and FeH absorption at these flux peaks are also seen across this transition). But what removes the clouds? Burgasser et al. (2002) have proposed a progressive fragmentation of the cloud layer, revealing hot spots similar to those observed on Jupiter and Saturn at 5 µm; Knapp et al. (2004) propose a global increase in condensate sedimentation efficiency, effectively shearing off the top of the cloud layer. Interestingly, atmospheric models by Burrows et al. (2006) predict the formation of a detached convective region in the photospheres of L dwarfs triggered by dust opacity, which merges with the lower convective boundary around the L dwarf/T dwarf transition. What role this transition has on cloud structure will require more detailed 3D atmosphere modeling to simultaneously explore dynamics and cloud formation (see contribution by B. Freytag).

3. Clouds and Dynamics in Exoplanet Atmospheres

3.1. Empirical Constraints on Cloud Properties

Like the L dwarfs, exoplanets orbiting close to solar-type stars have equilibrium temperatures ($T_{eq}$) spanning phase transitions for several refractory species. Hence, these objects are also expected to host dusty atmospheres. Yet observational evidence remains ambiguous. Transmission spectroscopy reveals weak or absent line and molecular features (e.g., Charbonneau et al. 2002; Pont et al. 2008) which, like the muted H$_2$O bands in L dwarf spectra, may be attributed to obscuring condensate (haze) opacity. In addition, Richardson et al. (2007) have claimed the detection of silicate emission from HD 20948b, albeit at low significance. These results stand in contrast to the very low albedo of this planet, $A_{g}<0.08$ compared to Jupiter’s $A_{g}≈0.5$ (Rowe et al. 2008), a value Burrows et al. (2008) find to be consistent with a condensate-free atmosphere. On the other hand, Fortney (2005) have proposed that the slant angle observations that characterize transit spectroscopy can result in a 1-2 order of magnitude increase in condensate and haze optical depth, allowing the existence of a thin condensate layer that absorbs strongly in transmission but has little effect in reflectance. Since other processes, including non-equilibrium abundances from dayside-nightside circulation, ionization from stellar irradiation and a non-condensate absorber such as TiO or VO (§ 3.4) may mute absorption in
transmission, the presence and characteristics of condensates in exoplanets remain uncertain.

3.2. Variability

As with cool dwarfs, variability in exoplanets could support the presence of clouds, particularly in repeated observations of the same longitude (i.e., multiple measurements at a particular phase). However, while a few studies have suggested variability to be present in orbit-to-orbit measurements of emergent flux (e.g., Madhusudhan & Seager 2009), existing datasets are so far too limited in temporal coverage and statistical significance to make robust claims (long-term warm Spitzer programs are likely to improve this situation). Dramatic variations in cloud coverage may also be unlikely, as the typical Rhines lengths and Rossby deformation radii of slowly-rotating, tidally-locked exoplanets are of order the planetary radius (Showman et al. 2008). This is the case of circularized planets; eccentric planets, on the other hand, may have dramatic temporal variations over the course of a single orbit. An extreme example is the highly eccentric ($e = 0.93$) transiting exoplanet HD 80606b, whose brightness temperature was observed to increase by $\sim 700$ K over a 6 hr period near periastron (Laughlin et al. 2009). This system undergoes dramatic changes in irradiation over its 0.3 yr orbit—a factor of over 800 between its 0.03 AU periastron and 0.87 AU apastron—so interorbit variability in its atmospheric properties are almost certain.

3.3. Dynamics Driven by Irradiation

Thermal imaging of exoplanet atmospheres over significant fractions of their orbits reveal two features indicative of dynamics: thermal peaks offset by 10–30° from the substellar longitude and small variations between dayside and nightside brightness temperatures (Figure 4; e.g., Knutson et al. 2009). Both indicate the presence of winds and jets circulating heat around the planet. Advanced hydrodynamic models generally confirm these results, although not necessarily the phase amplitudes (e.g., Langton & Laughlin 2007; Showman et al. 2009). Heat circulation not only modulates the azimuthal surface brightness; nonequilibrium chemistry analogous to that arising from vertical mixing in brown dwarf atmospheres may occur over azimuthal scales (e.g., Cooper & Showman 2006).

3.4. Stratospheres and Exospheres

Excess thermal emission detected during the secondary transit of some transiting exoplanets (e.g., Knutson et al. 2008) indicates the occasional presence of an upper atmosphere temperature inversion, or stratosphere (Figure 5; Fortney et al. 2006; Burrows et al. 2007). Stratospheres appear to be particularly common in highly irradiated planets (highest $T_{eq}$) and can arise in the presence of efficient absorbers high in the atmosphere. Primary candidates for these are currently TiO and VO, although Spiegel et al. (2009) have pointed out that H\textsubscript{2}O gas requires significant vertical mixing across the condensation cold trap, with eddy coefficients of $K_{zz} \gtrsim 10^7$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$. This is somewhat more vigorous than the mixing inferred in brown dwarf atmospheres (§ 2.2), although it could be powered by the
intense stellar irradiation. The presence of a stratosphere modifies the energy budget, pressure/temperature profile, chemical distribution and emergent spectral flux of an exoplanet, and has been proposed as a natural division between hot exoplanet types (e.g., Fortney et al. 2008).

More controversial is the possible detection of exospheres around exoplanets, atmospheric material blown off by high levels of UV irradiation. Vidal-Madjar et al. (2003) first reported this effect in HD 209485b on the basis of deep Lyα hydrogen absorption during primary eclipse (or more accurately, a large absorption cross-section). Several models have validated this observation (e.g., Lammer et al. 2003), although Ben-Jaffel (2007) claim the evaporation detection to be spurious (however, Vidal-Madjar et al. 2008 refute this). The estimated evaporation rate of material off HD 209458b, as high as 0.1 M_{Jupiter} Gyr\(^{-1}\) is also in conflict with the common mass distributions of exoplanets at close and far separations (Hubbard et al. 2007). Yet as this process has profound implications on the frequency of Hot Jupiters, further observational study is warranted.

4. Opportunities for Synergy

The similar properties of cool dwarf and hot exoplanet atmospheres (low temperatures, molecule-rich atmospheres, presence of clouds and dynamics) but distinct approaches to their study (e.g., direct observations versus transit detection and phase variation) indicate opportunities for synergistic efforts in addressing common, outstanding problems. These include the detailed microphysics of condensate grains (size distribution, composition, spectral properties), the temporal behavior of clouds, the influence of vertical and azimuthal mixing on chemical abundances, the formation of jets and vorticities, and the opacities of major molecular species. Some of these efforts are better addressed in cool dwarf studies, due to the ease of detailed, direct observation; others will benefit from the well-characterized bulk properties (mass and radius) and surface mapping achievable with transiting exoplanets. As advances are made in detailed, 3D modeling of low-temperature atmospheres and associated processes, this author encourages the use of both cool dwarf and hot exoplanet observations as empirical constraints.
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